UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
•	10/574,086	03/30/2006	Bernd Hansen	51062	8733	
		7590 04/03/200 ABRAMS, BERDO &		EXAM	INER	
	1300 19TH ST	•	GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS			
	SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N., DC 20036		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		,,		3721		
_						
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
•	3 MONTHS 04/03/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/574,086	HANSEN, BERND			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen F. Gerrity	3721			
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communi for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit to period for reply is specified above, the maximum state lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply of the reply received by the Office later than three months at ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[_	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition f	or allowance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar					
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 200		ected to by the Examiner.			
·	Applicant may not request that any object					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the Internation	ial Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
		` ,,,				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/06</u>.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Receipt is acknowledged of an Information Disclosure Statement, filed 30 March 2006, which has been placed of record in the file. An initialed, signed and dated copy of the PTO-1449 form is attached to this Office action.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal phraseology, i.e. said. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because the reference to claim numbers in the written description is improper, the written description must be complete in and of itself and not incorporate by reference the subject matter of a claim or claims. Applicant's attention is directed to page 1, lines 3 and 11, and page 2, line 8. Appropriate correction is required.
- **4.** The disclosure is objected to because the written description lacks the appropriate section headings customarily found in a U.S. patent applicant as provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), which are:
 - (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
 - (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
 - (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
 - (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

Application/Control Number: 10/574,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

(e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

- (1) Field of the Invention.
- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and 112, 2nd paragraph

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph reads as follows:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the subject matter is directed to neither a "machine" nor a "process". Claim 3 recites "Device for executing the process as claimed in claim 2" in line 1, this recitation embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention, namely a "machine" and "process", and thus fails to conform with 35 U.S.C. 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only.

Additionally, claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 USC. 112, 2nd paragraph as indefinite because claim 3 recites "Device for executing the process as claimed in claim 2" in line 1, which makes claims 3 and 4 ambiguous. Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (BPAI 1990). See MPEP § 2173.05(p)(II).

5. Claim 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, the phrase "preferably ..." renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 3, line 1, the use of the pronoun "it" renders the claim vague and indefinite because it is unclear to what "it" applicant is referencing.

These and any other informalities should be corrected so that the claims may particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen (DE 2,703,527) in view of Ranalli et al. (US 4,244,914).

The Hansen reference discloses a method and a device for the production of filled and sealed containers, a tube being extruded by means of an extrusion die head and shaped, filled and sealed by a shaping, filling and sealing device, the two devices having their own frames. The subject matter of claims 1 and 3 therefore differs from that which is known from Hansen in that the tube has at least one layer which makes the container impermeable to oxygen, the device having a coextrusion die head and a number of extruders for forming a tube from at least two layers. The Ranalli et al. reference discloses that it is old and well known in the relevant art to production of containers from a tube formed by coextrusion with a layer which is impermeable to oxygen is generally known. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have included the coextrusion of an added layer which is impermeable to oxygen in the Hansen method and device, in order to produce the self evident benefit of a filled and sealed container which is impermeable to oxygen to preserve the contents for extended periods of time.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Gerrity whose telephone number is 571-272-4460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,086 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen F. Gerrity Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

1 April 2007